Council



Title:	West Suffolk Council – Electoral Review: Amendments	
Report Nos:	AMENDED COU/SE/18/010	
Paper to and date:	Council	24 April 2018
Documents attached: None		

Following the distribution of the Council summons and papers, this paper provides amendments to Report No: COU/SE/18/010.

1. Report No: COU/SE/18/010: West Suffolk Council – Electoral Review

- 1.1 Please note the following minor amendments to paragraph 2.6.2 of the above covering report, shown in **bold red**:
- 2.6.2 The options in Appendix A have been subject to consultation with the Future Governance Steering Group. The FGSG recommended that all options should be submitted to MHCLG for consideration, and that:
- a) The "other options" for the rural wards should include the potential of moving Icklingham to the Manor Ward, albeit recognition should be given that the current proposal including Icklingham in a Risby Ward follows the A1101 giving a natural community cohesion corridor;
- b) Option **C** for Brandon should be the preferred option in light of the feedback received from local members at the Councillors drop-in session;
- c) Option **H** for Haverhill should be a preferred option in light of feedback from the consultation;
- d) Option **I** for Mildenhall should be a preferred option as it reflects the views of the recent Mildenhall Parish Council meeting; and
- e) Option K for Newmarket should be a preferred option (reflecting the views of Newmarket Town Council)
- 1.2 Please note the following amendment to the table in **Appendix A: Option A Rural Wards**, shown in **bold red:**

Ward No: 17 Risby Ward

No. of electorate: 1938-2237

%Away from Average: minus 5.74% + 8.80%

1.3 The table has been updated on the website but is not attached here.